H4D3FLOC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 LOUIS FLORES, Plaintiff, 4 5 17 CV 36 (JGK) V. 6 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 7 Defendant. 8 9 New York, N.Y. April 13, 2017 10 5:00 p.m. Before: 11 12 HON. JOHN G. KOELTL, 13 District Judge 14 APPEARANCES 15 LOUIS FLORES Pro Se Plaintiff 16 17 JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney for the 18 Southern District of New York REBECCA S. TINIO 19 Assistant United States Attorney 20 21 22 23 24 25

25

1 (Case called) MR. FLORES: Louis Flores, the pro se plaintiff. 2 3 MS. TINIO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Rebecca Tinio 4 from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the defendant. 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is a FOIA case, 6 right? 7 MR. FLORES: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: There is a complaint. So, as I read it, 8 9 in essence, the plaintiff is looking for a speech that was 10 given by Mr. Bharara. 11 MR. FLORES: I'm looking for four categories of 12 documents relating to speeches delivered by the former U.S. 13 attorney Preet Bharara; yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: What's the defendant's position? 15 defendant hasn't yet answered, right? 16 MS. TINIO: We did answer, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You did. 18 MS. TINIO: Yes. 19 Tell me what the gist of the answer is. THE COURT: 20 MS. TINIO: Well, your Honor, the main developments 21 are that yesterday the Executive Office of the U.S. Attorneys, 22 upon remand from Mr. Flores's administrative appeal, determined 23 that the EOUSA would grant Mr. Flores a fee waiver, and based

collection, and processing of Mr. Flores's requested documents

on EOUSA's determination issued yesterday, the search,

is now occurring, and it will be completed with no charge to Mr. Flores.

MR. FLORES: I object, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have an ability to say "yes." What's your objection?

MR. FLORES: The defendant forfeited any right to provide any administrative remedy the minute I filed my complaint. And furthermore, in the answer, the defendant claimed that the plaintiff was due no relief, and it is disturbing to me that the answer may say I am due no relief, and 24 hours before the hearing, before we come before your Honor, the defendant changes their story. I would like an explanation and I believe the Court is due an explanation.

THE COURT: Actually, I try not to decide cases that are moot just for the sake of requiring explanations. This is a court. I decide cases, controversies, disputes. It's not a play. It's not a theatrical production. It's not a proceeding where I demand explanations or require parties to prostrate themselves before me. I decide disputes, cases, controversies.

You asked for certain relief from the government. The government has gotten around to saying that we're going to provide that relief. Okay. How does a case proceed? There's a complaint, there is an answer, and then the case proceeds.

You don't think the case is moot. You want to continue it until the government gives you some form of

explanation. I'm not aware that that's a relief that's provided for under the Freedom of Information Act. There are certain indices that the government is otherwise required to produce under the regular procedures of the Freedom of Information Act. But at this point the government says it's collecting the documents and will provide them to you.

So, at such time as the government provides them to you, the case would seem to be over. And at that point the government could make a motion to dismiss the case as moot or make a motion for summary judgment or any form of motion. And I'm not sure what the basis is for you that you would have to resist that motion.

But plainly the government hasn't provided the documents yet. Certainly any reasonable resolutions would allow the government some time to search for and produce the documents that you've sought.

How much time does the government want?

MS. TINIO: Your Honor, I couldn't say that with certainty. I think we're certainly willing to provide documents in stages. I know that some are going to be easier than others, so I would anticipate a couple or a few weeks to provide the first release, and hopefully within a couple of months have the entire release completed.

THE COURT: That's kind of a long time. Why does it take a couple of months to provide the documents?

MS. TINIO: We can certainly take your Honor's comments and endeavor to do them more quickly. All the searches are occurring in the U.S. Attorney's Office. Some of the requested categories are a little bit tricky. He's requesting cost information which might not be easily segregable, logistical information which may not be easily identifiable. Like I said, there are some easier categories that I believe we'll be able to respond to within a couple of weeks.

THE COURT: Okay. So, let me do an order that sets up a schedule. Today is the 13th. The order will say by April 27, two weeks, the government will make its first production of documents sought by the plaintiff in this case. The government will provide a status report on the production of documents by May 25. All documents must be produced by June 2 or an explanation provided by the government. So, I'll enter an order which provides that schedule.

Does that schedule seem to you, Mr. Flores, to be reasonable?

MR. FLORES: I have concerns, your Honor. This is not the first time I have had to litigate with the DoJ on matters pertaining to FOIA. I expect that the DoJ will probably provide a very minimal production of documents, and then force me to have to litigate through dispositive motion practice which gives them an advantage. So, I am counting on the

government to help make sure that the DoJ complies with FOIA when it makes these productions of documents. And secondly, it's been my experience that the DoJ engages in misconduct because, but for the commencement of litigation, the DoJ does not comply with FOIA. It is a policy of the agency to only comply with FOIA when the filers of FOIA requests commence litigation and the court enters orders.

So I'm here seeking relief, and the only relief I will be able to obtain will be at the discretion of this Court. And I'm asking for the judge to make sure that the DoJ complies with FOIA and with the rules of civil procedure during these proceedings so that the pro se plaintiff is not placed at an unfair disadvantage.

And to that extent, I want to just note that one of the documents that the DoJ provided to me yesterday was the first time I saw this document. It's dated December 8, 2016, it claims to be the response that the agency provided to my FOIL appeal, which I made seeking administrative relief. I never received that document.

This is an example of the kind of misconduct I've witnessed the agency engage in previously. I object to that kind of treatment. Particularly since it's taking place during a proceeding before this Court, I think it's dishonorable of the agency to conduct itself in such a manner.

THE COURT: Okay. You've made your statement. My

experience with the U.S. Attorney's Office is that certainly the U.S. Attorney's Office for this district has a good history of complying with FOIA and making documents available. Their clients may, as you point out, need some prodding. But in this case, the documents are all located with, as I understand it, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District, so that the prodding that sometimes is necessary with respect to other clients and other agencies, I assume won't be needed for the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, who, after all, is a frequent litigant in the court and whose reputation is important to the office.

So, we'll see, Mr. Flores. And the litigation will be conducted in the normal course. If, for some reason, you have a problem with what's going on, you can make a motion, and I'm sure the motion will provoke a response. But ideally, the litigation will proceed smoothly in such a way that you really don't have to concern yourself with unnecessary motions. The government will make its production in a timely fashion, and the case will be over without placing any burdens on you as a pro se litigant. Which is the whole purpose for having the conference today.

MR. FLORES: Thank you, your Honor. However, I am here in my capacity as a reporter and as an activist, and as it takes activism to prod the DoJ, I'm prepared to do that. I was on a 22-day fast protesting already, and unfortunately, the DoJ

showed no regard for any of that. And I do anticipate, based on my experience, that although the U.S. Attorney's Office for this district does have a very good reputation, a reputation that I count on as a reporter, and I am as an activist proud about. I do know that agency-wide the DoJ fights every FOIA request. And I do anticipate that there will be resistance to the release of these records, otherwise why did I have to file this complaint.

And I'm only asking, in closing, the Court's monitoring of the agency's compliance because they also, in addition, have routinely engaged in violating FOIA as a matter of pattern and practice that's been alleged in the complaint.

THE COURT: Sure. Well, when I say "sure" I don't mean that I agree with everything that you've said. But I've set up a schedule. Right. And I assume that the schedule will be met. And as I've said, if you have any problems, you're free to make any motions. And hopefully, that will not be necessary, so you won't have any burdens in this particular litigation.

MR. FLORES: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TINIO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Good to see you all. I will enter an order. And Mr. Flores, you're on ECF, right?

MR. FLORES: No. I made a request. Your office

requested that I take a course, although I have already had experience and access prior -- I previously had access to ECF in the Eastern District. I was just hoping that as a courtesy you would make that access to me granted without my having to take that whole training course.

THE COURT: Mr. Flores, I'm pretty confident that it was not my office that did that. I'm perfectly happy to have you on ECF, and if it is a course requirement, it's something by our regular procedures in the clerk's office. So, we'll look into it. If you want to be on ECF and there is anything I can do to get you on ECF, it's a convenience to us to have you on ECF. Because otherwise, we send out personally to you every order, which we wouldn't if you were on ECF.

MR. FLORES: I would prefer to be on ECF, your Honor.

THE COURT: That makes two of us.

MR. FLORES: Thank you.

THE COURT: We'll look at it.

MR. FLORES: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Adjourned)